

REMARKS

Claims 1-3, 6-8 and 10-25 are pending in the application. All pending claims were allowed with the exception of claim 25. In the OA, the Examiner stated on p. 2:

5 However, Tepmongkol does not address fractional or
non-whole number scaling factor for resolution
conversion. These features are added in the
amended independent claims 1, 3 and 24 to
10 overcome the reference of Tepmongkol. However,
the applicant did not amend the independent claim 25
like the other independent claims. Therefore, the
rejection of claim 25 is still rejectable over the
reference of Tepmongkol and thus the rejection has
been maintained.

15 Applicant thanks the Examiner for indicating the allowability of claims 1-3,
6-8 and 10-24.

Applicant has added this limitation to claim 25 by the current amendment
and thus believes that the application is in a condition for allowance and
respectfully requests that the 35 U.S.C. §102 rejection be withdrawn from the
20 application.

CONCLUSION

Inasmuch as each of the objections have been overcome by the
amendments, and all of the Examiner's suggestions and requirements have been
satisfied, it is respectfully requested that the present application be reconsidered,
25 the rejections be withdrawn and that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in
this case.



Appl. No. 09/555,425
Reply to Office Action of June 30, 2004

Response under 37 CFR §1.116
expedited procedure . Examining
Group: 2625 (MPEP 714.13)

Respectfully submitted,

Mark Bergner (Reg. No. 45,877)
Mark Bergner
SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP
PATENT DEPARTMENT
6600 Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-6473
(312) 258-5779
Attorney for Applicants
Customer Number 26574

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the
United States Postal Service as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to:
Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-
1450 on August 4, 2004.

Mark Bergner
Mark Bergner Attorney for Applicants